

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office... Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE |             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|-----------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/921,327                  | 08/02/2001  | Gerald W. Back       | 31844.0700          | 5061            |  |
| 7590 11/08/2004             |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                 |  |
| John H. Platt               |             | THOMAS, DAVID B      |                     |                 |  |
| SNELL & WIL                 | MER, L.L.P. |                      |                     |                 |  |
| One Arizona Ce              | enter       | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                 |  |
| 400 East Van Buren          |             |                      | 3723                |                 |  |
| Phoenix A7 8                | 25004-2202  |                      |                     |                 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | •   |   |   |   | 1. A. A.               |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|------------------------|--|--|--|
|   |   | Application   | ı No.   | Applicant(s)  | 1/0                    |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary                                     |   | 09/921,327  | •   | BACK ET AL.   | N                      |  |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner  |   | Art Unit  |                        |  |  |  |
|   |   | David B. Th   | iomas   | 3723  |                        |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication apport   | oears on the  | cover sheet with the  | correspondence a  | ddress                 |  |  |  |
|   | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL  | V IS SET TO   | SEYDIRE 2 MONTH   | (S) FROM  |                        |  |  |  |
| THE - Exte<br>after - If the<br>- If NO<br>- Failt<br>Any | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  resions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  resizes period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl  reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing  led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no ever<br>by within the statut<br>will apply and will<br>e, cause the applic | nt, however, may a reply be til<br>ory minimum of thirty (30) da<br>expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>action to become ABANDONE | mely filed ys will be considered tim n the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ely.<br>communication. |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju   | <u>uly 2004</u> .   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠  | ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.  |   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 3)[   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims   |   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 4)🖂   | Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.  |   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 5)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>21-25</u> is/are allowed.   |   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>1-5,8,9,13-17 and 20</u> is/are rejected.   |   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 7)🖂   | Claim(s) 6,7,10-12,18 and 19 is/are objected to   | 0.  |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 8)□   | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | r election re   | quirement.  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Applicat  | ion Papers  |   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 9)[   | The specification is objected to by the Examine   | er.   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 10)🖂  | The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2001</u> is/are:   | a) accep  | ted or b)  objected   | to by the Examin  | er.                    |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | drawing(s) be   | held in abeyance. Se  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |                        |  |  |  |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  | tion is require   | d if the drawing(s) is ob   | jected to. See 37 C   | CFR 1.121(d).          |  |  |  |
| 11)   | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | kaminer. Not  | e the attached Office   | Action or form P  | TO-152.                |  |  |  |
| Priority (  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 12)   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign   | priority und  | er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a  | )-(d) or (f).   |                        |  |  |  |
| a)  | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:   |   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority document  | s have been   | received.   |   |                        |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority document  | s have been   | received in Applicat  | ion No  |                        |  |  |  |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  | rity documer  | its have been receive   | ed in this Nationa  | l Stage                |  |  |  |
|   | application from the International Bureau   | •   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| * 5   | See the attached detailed Office action for a list  | of the certific   | ed copies not receive   | ed.   |                        |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | • •   |   |   |   |                        |  |  |  |
|   | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | •   | <ol> <li>Interview Summary<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail D</li> </ol>  |   |                        |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔲 Infor  | re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>er No(s)/Mail Date  |   | 5) Notice of Informal F   |   | <sup>-</sup> O-152)    |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/921,327 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The indicated allowability of claims 5, 8, 13-17, and 20 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Humphrey et al. (6,777,966). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for abrasive particles, does not reasonably provide enablement for abrasive particles having a size in the range of between 0.01 microns and 90 microns. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the prior art referenced in Usui et al. (6,183,677).

Application/Control Number: 09/921,327

Art Unit: 3723

In Col. 2, lines 51-54, Usui et al. ('677) refers to a prior art abrasive member in which a flexible adhesive layer having abrasive particles incorporated therein is deposited onto a film or any other layers. Regarding the closing clause of claim 1, that the pad is "configured for", the examiner respectfully contends that this language is merely a recitation with respect to the manner in which the claimed apparatus is intended to be employed, and as such does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

6. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Meyer (6,280,529).

Meyer ('529) discloses a probe tip cleaning and shaping pad.

7. Claims 1-5, 8, 9, 13-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Humphrey et al. (6,777,966).

Humphrey et al. ('966) disclose a probe tip cleaning and shaping pad that anticipates the pad as claimed.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 6, 7, 10-12, 18, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 21-25 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed July 12, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner, respectfully, contends that, with respect to claims 1-4,

Application/Control Number: 09/921,327

Art Unit: 3723

the prior art referenced in Usui discloses a support structure, i.e. a film or any other layers, an adhesive layer, and abrasive particles. See the rejection above.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jensen discloses a pad having a support structure, an adhesive and an abrasive. Okubo et al., and Takano et al. each disclose a probe tip cleaning member.
- 12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Thomas whose telephone number is (703) 308-4250. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/921,327

Art Unit: 3723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David B. Thomas Patent Examiner Art Unit 3723

dbt